

STATE OF MARYLAND

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 201 W. Preston Street • Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Martin O'Malley, Governor - Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor - Joshua M. Sharfstein, M.D., Secretary

June 19, 2012

The Honorable Edward J. Kasemeyer Chairman Senate Budget and Taxation Committee 3 West Miller Senate Office Bldg. Annapolis, MD 21401-1991

The Honorable Norman H. Conway Chairman House Appropriations Committee 121 House Office Bldg. Annapolis, MD 21401-1991

Re: 2011 Joint Chairmen's Report (p. 81) – Updated Report on Addiction Treatment Spending for Individuals in the Primary Adult Care Program

Dear Chairmen Kasemeyer and Conway:

Last November, the Department submitted a report required by the 2011 Joint Chairmen's Report (p. 81) on addiction treatment spending for individuals enrolled in the Primary Adult Care program. The enclosed report updates the analysis from November 2011 and provides additional information, including actual expenditures in FY 2011, including pharmacy claims, and revised FY 2012 projections; actual unique users in FY 2011, and revised FY 2012 projections, including individuals receiving treatment through ADAA's grant funded system; and a more detailed analysis of payment denials by the Medicaid HealthChoice managed care organizations (MCOs).

If you have any questions or need more information on this subject, please contact Marie Grant, Director of Governmental Affairs at (410) 767-6480.

Sincerely.

Joshua M. Sharfstein, M.D.

Secretary

Enclosure

cc: Charles Milligan

Tricia Roddy

John Newman Renata Henry

Marie Grant

Kathleen Rebbert-Franklin

Executive Summary

Ensuring continued access to substance abuse treatment is a high priority for the State of Maryland and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (the Department). Both the Maryland Medicaid Program and the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA) devote considerable resources toward this purpose: Medicaid's coverage programs include substance abuse treatment as part of the benefit packages and ADAA administers a state-funded grant-based program providing substance abuse treatment services.¹

In January 2010, the Department expanded access to substance abuse treatment services through three initiatives: (1) increasing service reimbursement rates to Medicaid providers; (2) expanding the benefit package of the Primary Adult Care (PAC) program to include outpatient substance abuse treatment; and (3) improving the ability of enrollees to self-refer for services. The Department has partially financed this expansion by annually transferring state-only funds from the ADAA grant program to the Medicaid program, enabling Medicaid to draw-down federal matching funds and thereby expanding the total funding in the system.

During the 2011 legislative session, the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and House Appropriations Committee requested that the Department provide a report of HealthChoice PAC and FFS systems concerning substance abuse treatment funding and utilization. In November 2011, the Department released a report in response to p. 81 of the 2011 Joint Chairmen's Report (JCR) that includes:

- Number of enrollees receiving outpatient substance abuse treatment;
- Medicaid funds spent on outpatient substance abuse treatment services (excluding pharmacy) and funding of ADAA-administered treatment programs by jurisdiction;
- · Number of payment denials; and,
- Impact of the 2010 rate increase, new self-referral policy, and the PAC benefit expansion.

This report updates the analysis from November 2011 and provides additional information, including:

- Actual expenditures in Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, including pharmacy claims, and revised FY 2012 projections;
- Actual unique users in FY 2011, and revised FY 2012 projections, including individuals receiving treatment through ADAA's grant funded system; and,
- A more detailed analysis of payment denials by the Medicaid HealthChoice managed care organizations (MCOs).

¹ The Primary Adult Care (PAC) program provides access to community-based substance abuse services. Hospital outpatient and inpatient services are not covered under the program. Federal Medicaid rules prevent coverage of residential treatment programs for adults. Maryland Medicaid covers intermediate care facilities-addictions for children.

Highlights from the report include the following:

- Medicaid expenditures for outpatient substance abuse treatment increased by 165 percent from FY 2009 to FY 2011, i.e., from \$33.7 to \$89.5 million in total funds, inclusive of pharmacy expenditures;
- Pharmacy expenditures increased by 127 percent from FY 2009 to FY 2011, i.e., from \$7.3 to \$16.6 million in total funds;
- The number of outpatient substance abuse encounters paid for by MCOs increased from 275,469 in FY 2009 to 760,713 in FY 2011;
- The percentage of MCO payment denials decreased from 12.4 percent in FY 2009 to 6.8 percent in FY 2011 the most common reason was lack of preauthorization; and,
- The number of Marylanders receiving outpatient substance abuse treatment services through Medicaid and ADAA has increased steadily from 63,834 in FY 2009 to a projected 84,429 in FY 2012.

Medicaid Expenditures on Outpatient Substance Abuse Treatment, Inclusive of Pharmacy

Table 1a presents updated Medicaid expenditure figures on outpatient substance abuse treatments provided to HealthChoice, PAC, and fee-for-service (FFS) enrollees from FY 2009 through FY 2011. These updated figures now include pharmacy expenditures. Table 1b presents these figures by program and service category. In FY 2009, Medicaid expenditures were \$33.7 million, with non-pharmacy and pharmacy services accounting for 78.3 percent and 21.7 percent of this total, respectively. In FY 2010, expenditures were \$56.7 million, with non-pharmacy and pharmacy services accounting for 76.4 and 23.6 percent of this total, respectively. In FY 2011, expenditures were \$89.5 million, with non-pharmacy and pharmacy services accounting for 81.4 and 18.6 percent of this total, respectively. Medicaid outpatient expenditures are expected to continue to grow in FY 2012, totaling \$97.5 million.

As mentioned previously, the mechanism by which the expansion is financed involves a transfer of ADAA funds to the Medicaid program to maximize federal matching dollars. At present, Medicaid has received a \$9.4 million transfer from ADAA for FY 2012. Due to the significant increase in expenditures, however, the amount transferred from ADAA is budgeted at \$16.1 million for FY 2013. This transfer was based on the Department's November 2011 estimate of FY 2012 non-pharmacy expenditures -- \$65.5 million. The new FY 2012 projection is \$79.2 million. (see Table 1b.)

Table 1a. Medicaid Outpatient SUD Payments by Program, FY 2009 - 2012 (Total Funds)

Program	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012*
FFS	\$2,568,393	\$3,857,179	\$4,520,891	\$4,520,891
HealthChoice	\$28,280,479	\$41,215,459	\$56,250,163	\$59,063,566
PAC	\$2,814,490	\$11,625,298	\$28,724,045	\$33,936,171
Total	\$33,663,362	\$56,697,936	\$89,495,098	\$97,520,628

^{*}Estimated

Table 1b. Medicaid Outpatient SUD Payments by Service Type, FY 2009 - 2012 (Total Funds)

Program	FY 2	2009	FY 2	2010	FY:	2011	FY 2	012*
	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Non-Pharm	Pharm
FFS	\$2,122,660	\$445,733	\$3,258,523	\$598,655	\$3,886,323	\$634,568	\$3,886,323	\$634,568
HC	\$24,218,016	\$4,062,463	\$33,512,531	\$7,702,928	\$46,769,814	\$9,480,349	\$49,070,871	\$9,992,695
PAC	\$23,869	\$2,790,621	\$6,560,442	\$5,064,856	\$22,239,042	\$6,485,002	\$26,280,108	\$7,656,063
Total	\$26,364,545	\$7,298,817	\$43,331,497	\$13,366,440	\$72,895,179	\$16,599,919	\$79,237,302	\$18,283,326

^{*}Estimated

Pharm= Buprenorphine or Antabuse Prescriptions

Non-Pharm= All Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Transactions Except for Prescriptions

The Department collaborated with the Hilltop Institute to generate the figures for FYs 2009, 2010, and 2011, identifying all MCO encounters and FFS claims that occurred during those fiscal years. To obtain payments for MCO HealthChoice and PAC encounters, each MCO was provided a dataset containing its outpatient substance use disorder (SUD) encounters, and was requested to enter the amounts paid to providers for each service. This information was used to calculate the total HealthChoice and PAC SUD expenditures. To obtain the cost of FFS claims, the Department and Hilltop used the payment field in the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). The definitions used to identify SUD services are described in Appendix A and include a selected list of procedure and diagnostic codes for SUD treatments. Those codes were determined based on consultations with the Department and Hilltop.

It is important to note that the FY 2009 and FY 2010 non-pharmacy expenditures in this report - \$26.4 and \$43.3 million respectively - differ slightly from figures reported in the initial report - \$24.2 and \$42.2 million respectively. These numbers were revised because a small percentage of encounters were not priced at the time of the semi-annual reporting cycle. All claims reported as unpriced are rechecked during the next semi-annual reporting cycle. As such, some encounters that were reported as unpriced in last year's JCR have since been priced, thus increasing the dollars reported.

Additional tables on outpatient substance abuse treatment can be found Appendix B. Appendix B breaks out expenditures and number of Medicaid enrollees accessing substance abuse services by county.

Medicaid and ADAA Expenditures on Substance Abuse Treatment

Table 1c shows FY 2012 projected outpatient SUD expenditures by county. The table also includes ADAA grant funding data for FY 2012 in order to provide a more comprehensive summary of total funding statewide.

Table 1c. Medicaid and ADAA Outpatient SUD Expenditures, by County: FY2012

County	FY12 Med	icaid Expendit Services*	tures on SA	FY2012 ADAA	Total
	Non- Pharm	Pharm	Total	Grant Funds**	FY2012
Allegany	\$1,884,325	\$441,725	\$2,326,050	\$3,980,017	\$6,306,067
Anne Arundel	\$5,172,655	\$1,413,014	\$6,585,669	\$3,727,482	\$10,313,151
Baltimore City	\$43,336,837	\$8,631,792	\$51,968,629	\$30,672,874	\$82,641,503
Baltimore County	\$10,757,516	\$2,468,312	\$13,225,829	\$4,164,441	\$17,390,270
Calvert	\$324,340	\$260,205	\$584,545	\$605,060	\$1,189,605
Caroline	\$232,422	\$187,497	\$419,918	\$445,444	\$865,362
Carroll	\$1,469,327	\$579,747	\$2,049,073	\$2,578,672	\$4,627,745
Cecil	\$1,867,857	\$414,389	\$2,282,246	\$1,031,548	\$3,313,794
Charles	\$440,943	\$165,992	\$606,935	\$1,743,817	\$2,350,752
Dorchester	\$487,604	\$98,121	\$585,724	\$1,368,776	\$1,954,500
Frederick	\$1,240,633	\$382,294	\$1,622,928	\$1,585,154	\$3,208,082
Garrett	\$246,204	\$145,579	\$391,784	\$422,793	\$814,577
Harford	\$1,860,847	\$668,120	\$2,528,966	\$1,467,963	\$3,996,929
Howard	\$870,610	\$255,603	\$1,126,212	\$1,171,391	\$2,297,603
Kent	\$185,536	\$79,297	\$264,833	\$2,788,281	\$3,053,114
Montgomery	\$1,455,282	\$255,361	\$1,710,643	\$2,911,203	\$4,621,846
Prince George's	\$1,005,779	\$186,176	\$1,191,955	\$6,995,682	\$8,187,637
Queen Anne's	\$379,084	\$171,810	\$550,894	\$536,189	\$1,087,083
St. Mary's	\$373,882	\$169,440	\$543,321	\$2,369,534	\$2,912,855
Somerset	\$275,159	\$30,956	\$306,116	\$586,326	\$892,442
Talbot	\$198,513	\$81,685	\$280,198	\$622,812	\$903,010
Washington	\$2,301,148	\$844,507	\$3,145,655	\$2,166,056	\$5,311,711
Wicomico	\$2,544,740	\$241,739	\$2,786,480	\$1,235,847	\$4,022,327
Worcester	\$295,659	\$102,981	\$398,640	\$2,163,516	\$2,562,156
Out of State / Missing	\$30,400	\$6,984	\$37,384	-	\$37,384
Total	\$79,237,302	\$18,283,326	\$97,520,628	\$77,340,880	\$174,861,508

^{*}Projected by taking actual member months for FY 2012 over a 9 month period (July-March 2012), annualizing these data, and then multiplying by the actual PMPM cost for FY 2011.

^{**}ADAA pays for residential and community-based treatment, including buprenorphine.

Service Utilization

This section presents the number of individuals accessing outpatient substance abuse services from FY 2009 through FY 2011, and the number projected for FY 2012. (see Appendix B for a breakout by county.) For FY 2012, the number of Medicaid enrollees accessing outpatient substance abuse services is projected to grow at the same rate as overall enrollment projections. As requested by the Committees, these figures include ADAA data. Based on our analysis:

- The total number of individuals accessing services either through the Medicaid system or the ADAA grant funded system has increased by 32 percent over a threeyear period, from 63,834 (FY 2009) to 84,429 (projected FY 2012).
- The number of HealthChoice enrollees accessing outpatient substance abuse services grew from 12,621 (FY 2009) to 17,281 (FY 2010) to 21,679 (FY 2011), and are projected to grow to 22,852 in FY 2012.
- The number of PAC enrollees accessing outpatient substance abuse services grew from 1,946 (FY 2009) to 7,883 (FY 2010) to 13,630 (FY 2011), and are projected to grow to 16,351 in FY 2012.
- The number of FFS enrollees grew from 3,732 (FY 2009) to 5,973 (FY 2010), declined slightly to 4,858 in FY 2011, and are not projected to grow in FY 2012.
- The number of individuals receiving substance abuse services with ADAA funds has
 declined from 45,535 (FY 2009) to 44,988 (FY 2010) to 42,734 (FY 2011). ADAA
 projects unique users will continue to decline to 40,368 in FY 2012.

Please note that FY 2009 and FY 2010 Medicaid numbers are revised from the initial report and are slightly higher due to new claims information from the MCOs, e.g., either new claims or claims that were not priced previously. FY 2011 users were calculated based on actual encounter data and are significantly higher than what was projected in last year's report. This difference is explained by the fact that the FY 2010 baseline year only included six months of the expanded coverage policy, meaning that the FY 2011 projection was a conservative estimate of service utilization.

Unpaid Encounters, FY 2009-2011

The Department collaborated with the Hilltop Institute to identify unpaid encounters. As mentioned earlier, each MCO was provided a dataset containing its encounters from Hilltop, and was requested to enter the amounts paid for each service. When MCOs left the amount blank or reported a payment of zero dollars for an encounter, the encounter was assumed to be unpaid. This analysis may underestimate the number of encounters actually paid. The analysis looks at the number paid at a point in time and does not account for encounters that may have been paid at a later date. Table 2 shows the number of substance abuse service encounters sent to each MCO and the number that they reported back with an amount paid.

Table 2. Outpatient SUD Encounter Payment Reports by MCO, FY 2009 - FY 2011

		FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011	
мсо	Claims Sent to MCO	Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims	% Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims	Claims Sent to MCO	Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims	% Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims	Claims Sent to MCO	Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims	% Unpaid/ Unpriced Claims
AmeriGroup	50,391	7,597	15.1%	66,793	8,135	12.2%	73,917	9,936	13.4%
Americaid, PAC	1	0	0.0%	6,187	780	12.6%	22,639	2,478	10.9%
Coventry	2,139	288	13.5%	2,319	162	7.0%	1,935	341	17.6%
JAI	25,091	1,108	4.4%	31,803	1,674	5.3%	39,901	1,584	4.0%
JAI, PAC	3,869	26	0.7%	23,880	1,488	6.2%	56,540	2,949	5.2%
MPC	71,597	1,726	2.4%	98,875	2,317	2.3%	142,892	2,698	1.9%
MPC, PAC	5,515	32	0.6%	44,445	999	2.2%	124,531	2,405	1.9%
MedStar	14,175	1,866	13.2%	15,976	1,954	12.2%	16,935	1,524	9.0%
Priority Partners	96,523	12,556	13.0%	129,388	11,142	8.6%	157,256	11,162	7.1%
Priority Partners, PAC	619	25	4.0%	16,412	1,840	11.2%	57,072	4,162	7.3%
United	41,392	13,701	33.1%	56,716	14,485	25.5%	66,986	8,967	13.4%
United PAC	3,139	57	1.8%	21,296	4,788	22.5%	55,915	7,600	13.6%
Total	314,451	38,982	12.4%	514,090	49,764	9.7%	816,519	55,806	6.8%

In FY 2011, 6.8 percent of all outpatient substance abuse treatment encounters were not subsequently connected to an MCO payment. Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, the percent of unpaid encounters dropped from 12.4 percent to 6.8 percent. Multiple factors may explain this reduction. For instance, effective outreach by the Department, ADAA, and other local organizations (such as the Baltimore Substance Abuse Systems) may have resulted in more providers becoming more knowledge on how to bill appropriately for Medicaid services.

Payment Denial Reasons

The Department required MCOs to report specific reasons for all encounters reported as unpriced (e.g., "Duplicate Record", "Incorrect Submission", "Not Pre-authorized", "Late Filing", "Unmatched Record", "Exceeds Coverage Limit", "Services Not Covered", or "Other Reasons"). (See appendix B for a breakout by MCO.)

Table 3 presents individual counts of each reason as well as the prevalence of each reason (as a proportion of all paid/unpaid encounters). The top four reasons (in order from most to least prevalent) by year are as follows:

 FY 2009: "United MCO Systems Issue", "Not Pre-authorized", "Duplicate", and "Unmatched"

- FY 2010: "Not Pre-authorized", "United MCO Systems Issue", "Late Filing", and "Incorrect Submission"
- FY 2011: "Not Pre-authorized", "Unmatched", "Other", and "Member not eligible"

Table 3 also shows how the prevalence of each payment denial reason has changed over time. Most notably, the prevalence of cases where claims are denied because of duplicate records has declined significantly. Conversely, the table shows slight increases in the prevalence of encounters that were denied due to incorrect submission, and cases where encounters were denied due to member ineligibility.

The Department and Hilltop found that a significant number of the payment denials are associated with United Healthcare: 10,520 in FY 2009, 5,950 in FY 2010, and 590 in FY 2011. United was consulted directly regarding this issue. According to United, many of these encounters were actually paid at a later date, indicating a problem with the process of voiding and resubmitting encounters. United reported remedying this issue, which is consistent with the declining number of payment denials.

Table 3. Payment Denial Reasons, FY 2009 - FY 2011

	F	Y 2009	F	Y 2010	I. I.	Y 2011
Reasons	Number	% of Submitted Encounters	Number	% of Submitted Encounters	Number	% of Submitted Encounters
Duplicate Record	6,151	1.96%	3,653	0.71%	3,388	0.41%
Exceeds Coverage Amt.	1,577	0.50%	2,687	0.52%	2,159	0.26%
Incorrect Submission	1,087	0.35%	4,501	0.88%	3,236	0.40%
Late Filing	2,298	0.73%	4,970	0.97%	4,116	0.50%
Member Not Eligible	707	0.22%	2,491	0.48%	4,173	0.51%
No Reason Provided	260	0.08%	783	0.15%	238	0.03%
Not Pre- Authorized	8,536	2.71%	14,311	2.78%	21,773	2.67%
Other	1,981	0.63%	3,386	0.66%	4,892	0.60%
Pending Processing	12	0.00%	20	0.00%	8	0.00%
Service Not Covered	1,414	0.45%	2,570	0.50%	1,097	0.13%
Third Party Payer	967	0.31%	2,096	0.41%	2,348	0.29%
United MCO Systems Issue	10,520	3.35%	5,950	1.16%	590	0.07%
Unmatched	3,472	1.10%	2,346	0.46%	7,788	0.95%
Total Unpriced/ Unpaid	38,982	12.4%	49,764	9.7%	55,806	6.8%
Total Submitted	314,451	100.0%	514,090	100.0%	816,519	100.0%

Conclusion

The policy changes that took effect January 2010 significantly increased access to substance abuse services – we are now serving close to a total of 85,000 individuals through Medicaid and services provided through the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration. The Department is committed to ensuring access to treatment not only to address current needs but also to prepare for future policy priorities.

In 2013, the Department expects to integrate a new model of care for behavioral health services and has recently launched a stakeholder-driven process to develop its integration plan (called the Behavioral Health Integration Stakeholder process). Among other topics, the stakeholder process will consider both the implications of implementing a new approach as well as the natural transitions in benefit design and delivery systems that will occur on January 1, 2014 – when health care reform takes effect.

Moreover, the Department is committed to analyzing substance abuse treatment data. The Department will be analyzing the volume and expenditures from laboratory tests related to drug testing. Additionally, a recent suggestion is that the Department breakdown Medicaid expenditure data by procedure code. The Department plans to breakdown the expenditure data in this report by procedure code for the Behavioral Health Integration stakeholder group this summer, as well as provide this data breakdown in a future substance abuse treatment update to the General Assembly.

Appendix A. Codes to Identify SUD Visits

Criteria	HCPCS/CPT/NDC Codes	Primary or Secondary ICD-9-CM Diagnosis
Criterion 1	HCPCS: H0001, H0004, H0005, H0014, H0015, H0020, H2034, H2035	n/a
Criterion 2	CPT: (90801, 90804, 90805, 90806, 90807, 90808, 90847, 90849, 90853, 90899), and the listed ICD-9 codes (in the cell on the right)	291.xx, 292.xx, 303.xx, 304.xx, 305.0x, 305.2x-305.9x, 648.3x, 760.70, 760.71, 760.72, 760.73, 760.75, 790.3x, 965.0x, 967.xx, 980.xx
Criterion 3	NDC: those containing active ingredients- buprenorphine or disulfiram (Antabuse).	n/a

Appendix B. Medicaid Data Reporting Tables

Table B.1 Outpatient SUD Encounter Payments by County, FY 2009-FY 2011

		anic Dil On	it particult 50 p.	mcounter I ay	ments by co	table Bit Outpainent SOE Encounter rayments by County, it 2007-r 1 2011	1 2011		
		FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011	
County	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Total	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Total	Non-Pharm	Pharm	Total
Allegany	\$788,008	\$228,192	\$1,016,200	\$1,349,356	\$370,937	\$1,720,293	\$1,790,067	\$418,580	\$2,208,647
Anne Arundel	\$1,492,254	\$501,370	\$1,993,624	\$2,633,815	\$964,483	\$3,598,298	\$4,566,555	\$1,251,096	\$5,817,650
Baltimore City	\$16,200,344	\$4,026,056	\$20,226,400	\$25,169,735	\$6,622,584	\$31,792,320	\$41,143,248	\$8,085,054	\$49,228,303
Baltimore County	\$3,429,420	\$906,836	\$4,336,256	\$5,749,958	\$1,732,027	\$7,481,985	\$9,431,580	\$2,130,597	\$11,562,177
Calvert	\$67,847	\$81,427	\$149,274	\$155,495	\$179,583	\$335,078	\$290,075	\$236,714	\$526,788
Caroline	\$16,58\$	\$53,595	\$139,513	\$166,814	\$109,600	\$276,414	\$215,354	\$167,921	\$383,275
Carroll	\$432,563	\$187,953	\$620,516	\$708,284	\$433,081	\$1,141,364	\$1,233,450	\$501,340	\$1,734,790
Cecil	\$474,951	\$87,496	\$562,448	\$850,750	\$206,840	\$1,057,590	\$1,615,981	\$354,660	\$1,970,641
Charles	\$110,380	\$65,718	\$176,098	\$266,678	\$115,846	\$382,524	\$390,310	\$147,827	\$538,136
Dorchester	\$88,914	\$55,482	\$144,396	\$205,915	\$101,431	\$307,346	\$454,239	\$91,435	\$545,673
Frederick	\$310,529	\$107,153	\$417,682	\$550,612	\$271,466	\$822,078	\$1,099,156	\$346,045	\$1,445,201
Garrett	\$74,899	\$68,878	\$143,777	\$173,653	\$106,666	\$280,319	\$239,335	\$141,425	\$380,761
Harford	\$324,655	\$209,235	\$533,890	\$771,598	\$395,144	\$1,166,742	\$1,641,367	\$596,240	\$2,237,607
Howard	\$250,704	\$101,647	\$352,352	\$381,999	\$156,534	\$538,533	\$719,601	\$209,597	\$929,198
Kent	\$62,239	\$8,730	\$70,968	\$106,505	\$50,695	\$157,200	\$161,389	\$69,677	\$231,067
Montgomery	\$601,042	\$93,157	\$694,199	\$805,949	\$155,945	\$961,894	\$1,286,992	\$217,707	\$1,504,698
Prince George's	\$258,559	\$65,072	\$323,632	\$477,176	\$133,188	\$610,364	\$912,067	\$167,668	\$1,079,735
Queen Anne's	\$50,661	\$49,512	\$100,173	\$122,827	\$121,943	\$244,770	\$335,660	\$151,406	\$487,066
St. Mary's	\$56,583	\$54,223	\$110,805	\$80,016	\$107,408	\$187,425	\$316,951	\$144,598	\$461,549
Somerset	\$120,570	\$15,397	\$135,967	\$155,609	\$52,195	\$207,804	\$251,077	\$28,322	\$279,400
Talbot	\$39,873	\$26,579	\$66,451	\$72,764	\$53,384	\$126,148	\$171,620	\$66,634	\$238,255
Washington	\$480,455	\$189,700	\$670,155	\$1,037,058	\$588,637	\$1,625,695	\$2,020,632	\$756,782	\$2,777,414
Wicomico	\$455,055	\$65,407	\$520,461	\$1,112,577	\$200,806	\$1,313,383	\$2,298,887	\$215,207	\$2,514,094
Worcester	\$91,029	\$31,770	\$122,799	\$179,146	\$116,311	\$295,458	\$273,456	\$95,809	\$369,265
Out of State	\$16,163	\$8,916	\$25,079	\$42,233	\$17,020	\$59,254	\$30,205	\$5,893	\$36,098
Missing	\$930	\$9,316	\$10,246	\$4,974	\$2,686	\$7,660	\$5,926	\$1,683	\$7,609
Total	\$26,364,545	\$7,298,817	\$33,663,362	\$43,331,497	\$13,366,440	\$56,697,936	872,895,179	\$16,599,919	\$89,495,098

Table B.2 Unique Users of Outpatient Substance Abuse Services – By Program and Jurisdiction – FY 2009 to FY 2012

Company HZ 2010 CARD STAND CARD STAND <th></th> <th>Iabl</th> <th>e B.2</th> <th></th> <th>Table B.2 Unique Users of Outpatient Su</th> <th>01 Out</th> <th>ранен</th> <th></th> <th>DSIAIICE ADUSE</th> <th>o asnov</th> <th>SEI VICES</th> <th>L DV</th> <th>l ogi al</th> <th>папп</th> <th>r rogram and Jurisdiction</th> <th>1</th> <th>F Y 200</th> <th>2009 to F x 2012</th> <th>7107</th> <th></th> <th></th>		Iabl	e B.2		Table B.2 Unique Users of Outpatient Su	01 Out	ранен		DSIAIICE ADUSE	o asnov	SEI VICES	L DV	l ogi al	папп	r rogram and Jurisdiction	1	F Y 200	2009 to F x 2012	7107		
He He He He He He He He				FY 2009			TO SECOND		FY 2010	No. of Persons				FY 2011				FY 20	12 (Esti	mated)	
Harman H	County	НС	PAC	FFS	ADAA	Total	НС	PAC	FFS	ADAA	Total	НС	PAC	FFS	ADAA	Total	НС	PAC	FFS	ADAA*	Total
kel 806 114 243 2,599 3,560 1,125 678 338 2073 1,571 1,280 1,680 1,680 1,14 243 2,599 1,580 1,573 1,581 2,730 1,571 1,581 2,730 1,578 2,730 1,581 2,740 1,576 6,73 1,090 1,063 2,934 8,816 7,935 mm 1,703 205 446 1,356 2,320 1,376 6,74 4,000 2,016 1,263 2,984 8,10 1,276 6,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,20 3,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 1,10 2,10 1	Allegany	446	43	186	1384	2,059	576	157	195	1318	2,246	614	255	171	1255	2,295	626	295	171	1227	2,319
v. 3733 1.094 1.446 23.18 7.350 4.356 2.370 1.356 8.770 1.356 8.770 0.653 0.653 1.025 1.025 1.446 2.138 2.350 1.350 <	A. Arundel	806	114	243	2,399	3,562	1,152	829	338	2075	4,243	1,572	1,350	280	1635	4,837	1,700	1,638	280	1215	4,833
1.00 1.00	Balt. City	5,733	1,294	1,694	14,461	23,182	7,339	4,356	2,370	13,581	27,646	8,570	6,653	2,028	12,623	29,874	8,816	7,393	2,028	12,239	30,476
125 12 12 14 162 155 34 36 1526 1871 395 153 35 153 35 153 35 153 36 152 34 486 1630 343 548 194 39 17 288 538 200 31 405 152 33 405 1106 1547 140 200 1106 1547 140 200 160 36 1112 567 1110 120 30 160 30 171 360 180 30 170 30 170 30 400 30	Balt. County	1,703	205	465	4,135	6,508	2,354	858	098	4,401	8,473	2,913	1,576	674	4,002	9,165	3,191	2,036	674	3,653	9,554
87 12 13 40 15 23 34 343 548 194 39 17 289 405 152 23 34 348 194 39 17 289 150 25 30 1106 1,547 475 162 250 120 20 1106 1,547 475 162 250 120 20 1112 567 1110 127 613 1,417 718 286 166 882 1,417 170 286 160 82 1,417 170 180 1,417 1,417 1,417 1,418 1,417 1,417 1,418 1,417 1,418 1,417 1,418 1,417 1,418 1,	Calvert	125	12	27	1,468	1,632	235	54	99	1,526	1,871	302	133	35	1,535	2,005	322	167	35	1519	2,043
401 6 134 415 162 260 1210 2097 612 298 153 1259 232 670 419 401 6 33 672 1,112 567 110 127 613 1,417 718 286 165 680 1,820 761 410 er 159 1,112 567 1,112 567 1,112 567 1,112 320 1,213 1,112 360 1,214 1,112 360 1,212 1,112 360 1,214 1,112 360 1,214 1,112 360 1,214 1,112 360 1,214 1,112 360 1,112 360 360 1,112 360 360 1,112 360	Caroline	87	12	17	289	405	152	23	30	343	548	194	39	17	288	538	205	50	17	264	536
triangle field for the field formation of the field field formation of the field fie	Carroll	326	25	06	1106	1,547	475	162	250	1210	2,097	612	298	153	1259	2,322	670	430	153	1034	2,287
tr. 124 12 55 1412 1,642 266 66 82 1,247 1,661 326 151 82 1153 1,712 347 200 322 323 32 323	Cecil	401	9	33	672	1,112	267	110	127	613	1,417	718	286	991	089	1,850	761	419	991	669	2,045
cr	Charles	159	15	56	1,412	1,642	366	99	82	1,247	1,661	326	151	82	1153	1,712	347	200	82	1160	1,789
k 296 27 8 87 1.885 1.995 4.54 180 128 2.212 2.974 8.98 359 139 2239 3.335 6.31 466 466 412 1.0 1 2.0 2.0 3.0 3.1 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	Dorchester	124	12	55	1909	2,100	171	41	92	2044	2,348	217	63	62	1977	2,319	222	82	62	1719	2,085
74 6 29 361 470 120 38 341 538 147 77 27 300 551 144 91 335 38 75 933 1,381 497 274 143 784 1,698 606 412 119 937 2,164 735 517 136 22 25 855 1,038 214 74 95 859 1,242 355 161 58 908 1,462 355 240 next 402 17 42 2,68 794 119 34 26 715 894 133 84 19 345 340 360 360 109 360 109 37 48 369 348 345 364 369 364 369 369 369 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 379 3	Frederick	296	27	87	1,585	1,995	454	180	128	2,212	2,974	869	359	139	2239	3,335	631	466	139	2164	3,400
335 38 75 933 1,381 497 274 143 784 1,698 696 412 119 937 2,164 735 517 136 22 25 855 1,038 214 74 95 859 1,242 335 161 58 908 1,462 363 240 nery 402 17 142 2,567 3,128 500 111 256 2,588 3,455 648 265 183 1,079 190 190 190 ge/s 160 10 43 2,689 2,902 267 2,57 3,148 561 2,93 278 3,148 3,	Garrett	74	9	29	361	470	120	38	39	341	538	147	77	27	300	551	144	91	27	336	598
136 22 25 855 1,038 214 74 95 859 1,242 335 161 58 908 1,462 365 240 nery 75 2 21 696 794 119 34 26 715 894 133 84 19 843 1,079 139 240 nery 402 17 142 2,567 3,128 500 111 256 2,588 3,455 648 265 183 1,079 190 </td <td>Harford</td> <td>335</td> <td>38</td> <td>75</td> <td>933</td> <td>1,381</td> <td>497</td> <td>274</td> <td>143</td> <td>784</td> <td>1,698</td> <td>969</td> <td>412</td> <td>119</td> <td>937</td> <td>2,164</td> <td>735</td> <td>517</td> <td>119</td> <td>965</td> <td>2,336</td>	Harford	335	38	75	933	1,381	497	274	143	784	1,698	969	412	119	937	2,164	735	517	119	965	2,336
comery 402 794 119 34 26 715 894 133 84 19 843 1,079 139 199 109 comery 402 17 42 2.567 3.128 500 111 256 2.588 3.455 648 265 183 2.703 3.799 705 342 orge's 160 10 43 2.689 2.902 267 92 126 2.673 3,158 561 239 70 2.248 3,118 599 291 267 92 126 2,673 3,158 561 239 77 3,14 703 3,18 70 2,248 3,18 79 3,18 70 2,24 41 1,622 3,43 101 32 141 1,622 3,43 101 32 141 1,622 3,43 101 32 129 3,73 149 102 141 1,622 3,43 141	Howard	136	22	25	855	1,038	214	74	95	859	1,242	335	191	58	806	1,462	363	240	58	982	1,643
comery 402 17 142 2.567 3.128 500 111 256 2.588 3.455 648 265 183 2.703 3.799 705 37 orge's 160 10 43 2.689 2.902 267 92 126 2.673 3.158 561 239 70 2.248 3.118 599 291 nme's 107 9 30 449 595 166 57 62 443 728 237 95 37 334 703 250 291 20 395 141 1,622 343 101 32 129 1771 365 150 150 141 1,622 343 101 32 141 1,622 343 101 32 141 146 319 441 41 511 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	Kent	75	2	21	969	794	119	34	26	715	894	133	84	19	843	1,079	139	109	19	821	1,088
norge's 160 10 43 2,689 2,902 267 267 126 2,673 3,158 561 239 70 2,248 3,118 599 291 291 norge's 107 9 30 449 595 166 57 62 443 728 237 95 34 703 2,29 170 365 156 2.5 134 2.5	Montgomery	402	17	142	2,567	3,128	500	Ξ	256	2,588	3,455	648	265	183	2,703	3,799	705	342	183	2,593	3,823
mnes 107 9 30 449 595 166 57 62 443 728 237 95 37 334 703 252 129 rays 54 5 25 1345 1,429 152 26 63 1411 1,652 343 101 32 1295 1,771 365 156 set 110 3 29 395 537 119 37 46 319 521 160 62 31 41 <td>Pr. George's</td> <td>160</td> <td>10</td> <td>43</td> <td>2,689</td> <td>2,902</td> <td>267</td> <td>92</td> <td>126</td> <td>2,673</td> <td>3,158</td> <td>561</td> <td>239</td> <td>70</td> <td>2,248</td> <td>3,118</td> <td>599</td> <td>291</td> <td>70</td> <td>1,924</td> <td>2,884</td>	Pr. George's	160	10	43	2,689	2,902	267	92	126	2,673	3,158	561	239	70	2,248	3,118	599	291	70	1,924	2,884
rays 54 5 25 1345 1429 152 26 63 1411 1,652 343 101 32 1295 1,771 365 150 150 150 151 160 62 31 231 484 168 77 rect 110 3 29 395 537 119 37 46 319 521 160 62 31 231 484 168 77 rect 110 3 56 24 41 514 635 91 41 21 490 643 97 61 rect 13 16 166 935 1,370 451 114 237 918 1,720 579 256 147 1,601 3,256 945 693 13 4,83 1,94 1,591 2,724 882 556 147 1,601 3,736 4,553 1,94 1,41 1,720 1,582 <td>Qn. Anne's</td> <td>107</td> <td>6</td> <td>30</td> <td>449</td> <td>595</td> <td>166</td> <td>57</td> <td>62</td> <td>443</td> <td>728</td> <td>237</td> <td>95</td> <td>37</td> <td>334</td> <td>703</td> <td>252</td> <td>129</td> <td>37</td> <td>306</td> <td>724</td>	Qn. Anne's	107	6	30	449	595	166	57	62	443	728	237	95	37	334	703	252	129	37	306	724
set 110 3 29 395 537 119 37 46 319 521 160 62 31 231 484 168 77 ington 480 5 21 533 598 56 24 41 514 635 91 41 21 490 643 97 61 nico 313 16 1676 2,356 659 245 229 1,520 579 579 256 147 1,601 3,256 945 693 siter 113 9 60 1281 1,463 191 63 1262 1,585 207 109 77 1144 1,537 213 129 FState 17 3 9 2 9 13 2 12,85 207 109 77 1144 1,537 213 12,91 Rest 1 3 9 2 9 13	St. Mary's	54	5	25	1345	1,429	152	26	63	1411	1,652	343	101	32	1295	1,771	365	156	32	1327	1,880
ngton 480 36 164 1,676 2,356 659 245 1,591 2,724 882 556 217 1,601 3,256 945 693 610 and a like of the look of the	Somerset	110	3	29	395	537	119	37	46	319	521	160	62	31	231	484	168	77	31	225	501
nigton 480 36 164 1,676 2,356 659 245 229 1,591 2,724 882 556 217 1,601 3,256 945 693 693 nico 313 16 106 935 1,370 451 114 237 918 1,720 579 256 147 1,054 2,036 616 332 ster 113 9 60 1281 1,463 191 63 69 1262 1,585 207 109 77 1144 1,537 213 129 RState 17 3 9 - 29 29 9 13 - 51 24 9 13,630 4,858 42,734 82,901 22,852 16,351	Talbot	39	S	21	533	598	56	24	41	514	635	16	41	21	490	643	76	- 19	21	366	545
nico 313 16 106 935 1,370 451 114 237 918 1,720 579 256 147 1,054 2,036 616 332 Ster 113 9 60 1281 1,463 191 63 69 1262 1,585 207 109 77 1144 1,537 213 129 IState 17 3 9 - 29 9 13 - 51 24 98 16,125 1,679 13,630 4,858 42,734 82,901 22,852 16,351	Washington	480	36	- 164	1,676	2,356	629	245	229	1,591	2,724	882	556	217	1,601	3,256	945	693	217	1,504	3,359
Estate 113 9 60 1281 1,463 191 63 69 1262 1,585 207 109 77 1144 1,537 213 129 129 13 3 9 - 2 2 9 9 13 - 51 24 98 13,630 4,858 42,734 82,901 22,852 16,351	Wicomico	313	16	901	935	1,370	451	114	237	918	1,720	579	256	147	1,054	2,036	919	332	147	981	2,076
FState 17 3 9 - 29 29 9 13 - 51 24 9 13,630 4,858 42,734 82,901 22,852 16,351	Worcester	113	6	09	1281	1,463	161	63	69	1262	1,585	207	109	77	1144	1,537	213	129	77	1145	1,564
12,621 1,946 3,732 45,535 63,834 17,281 7,883 5,973 44,988 76,125 21,679 13,630 4,858 42,734 82,901 22,852 16,351	Out of State /Missing	17	3	6	•	29	29	6	13		51	24	- 6	13		46	20	∞	13		41
	Total	12,621	1,946	3,732	45,535	63,834	17,281	7,883	5,973	44,988	76,125	21,679	13,630	4,858	42,734	82,901	22,852	16,351	4,858	40,368	84,429

*Estimated based on 85 percent of total for Fiscal Year.

314,451 10,520 38,982 Total 8,536 3,472 2,298 1.577 1,414 1,087 6,151 1,981 United 3,139 Priority PAC 4.00% Table B.3 Outpatient SUD Encounter Denial/Non-Payment Reasons by MCO, FY 2009 %09.0 5,515 MPC PAC 0.70% JAI PAC 3,869 Americaid PAC 33.10% 41,392 United 10,520 13,701 1,822 Priority 12,556 96,523 3,740 1,018 5,157 MedStar 14,175 1,866 1,840 MPC 71,597 Ξ 25,091 1,108 JAI Coventry 13.50% 2,139 Amerigroup 15.10% 50,391 2,138 7,597 1,356 1,040 1,243 Percentage Unpriced/ Member Not Eligible United Systems Issue Incorrect Submission Service Not Covered No Reason Provided Not Pre-Authorized Pending Processing Exceeds Coverage Third Party Payer Duplicate Record Total Submitted Total Unpriced/ Reasons Unmatched Late Filing Unpaid Unpaid Amount Other

Table B.4 Outpatient SUD Encounter Denial/Non-Payment Reasons by MCO, FY 2010

	Lat	Table Dir Sarparine Ser				-			1				
								Americaid	JAI	MPC	Priority	United	
Reasons	Amerigroup Coventry	Coventry	JAI	MPC	MedStar	Priority	United	PAC	PAC	PAC	PAC	PAC	Total
Not Pre-Authorized	2,749	13	751	5	588	4,647	3,626	370	398	11	414	739	14,311
United Systems Issue		•	1		1	•	5,950	1	э	•		•	5,950
Late Filing	837	0	29	852	123	1,466	417	96	43	507	350	250	4,970
Incorrect Submission	1,015	17	146	0	278	698	1,129	11	588	0	86	350	4,501
Duplicate Record	52	7	345	181	27	714	992	0	177	249	53	856	3,653
Other	0	0	0	617	36	315	398	0	0	12	7	2,001	3,386
Exceeds Coverage Amount	1,412	23	0	0	9	909	327	71	0	0	65	177	2,687
Service Not Covered	701	1	89	0	16	221	712	35	140	0	504	172	2,570
Member Not Eligible	529	0	0	0	93	881	368	09	0	0	344	216	2,491
Unmatched	701	0	0	999	730	18	19	124	0	186	2	0	2,346
Third Party Payer	- 0	- 0	7	85	_	1,405	547	0	6	- 12	3 -	27	2,096
No Reason Provided	119	101	328	=	56	0	0	13	133	22	0	0	783
Pending Processing	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Total Unpriced/ Unpaid	8,135	162	1,674	2,317	1,954	11,142	14,485	780	1,488	999	1,840	4,788	49,764
Total Submitted	66,793	2,319	31,803	98,875	15,976	129,388	56,716	6,187	23,880	44,445	16,412	21,296	514,090
Percentage Unpriced/ Unpaid	12.20%	7.00%	5.30%	2.30%	12.20%	8.60%	25.50%	12.60%	6.20%	2.20%	11.20%	22.50%	9.70%

Table B.5 Outpatient SUD Encounter Denial/Non-Payment Reasons by MCO, FY 2011

Action of the second of the se		The same of the sa		1			-						
								Americaid	JAI	MPC	Priority	United	
Reasons	Amerigroup Coventry	Coventry	JAI	MPC	MedStar	Priority	United	PAC	PAC	PAC	PAC	PAC	Total
Not Pre-Authorized	3,617	1	1,026	0	487	3,841	4,813	1,333	2,136	0	1,398	3,121	21,773
Unmatched	3,794	0	8	922	245	32	50	500	12	1,847	366	12	7,788
Other	1,488	20	27	1,285	23	569	289	303	227	24	75	862	4,892
Member Not Eligible	3	0	0	0	215	2,139	406	0	0	0	066	420	4,173
Late Filing	351	0	151	307	314	1,043	417	182	366	409	335	241	4,116
Duplicate	32	0	4	78	1	549	937	21	0	46	283	1,437	3,388
Incorrect Submission	154	0	294	17	222	1,024	571	39	183	0	235	497	3,236
Third Party Payer	269	0	0	23	0	1,500	425	56	0	1	56	18	2,348
Exceeds Coverage Amount	50	0	0	0	9	493	467	24	0	0	127	992	2,159
Service Not Covered	176	237	74	0	0	272	0	16	25	0	297	0	1,097
United Systems Issue	ı,	:0 1 :	ı,	, i			590	=	107	•		-6	590
No Reason Provided	0	83	0	99	11	0	0	0	0	78	0	0	238
Pending Processing	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	8
Total Unpriced/ Unpaid	9,936	341	1,584	2,698	1,524	11,162	8,967	2,478	2,949	2,405	4,162	7,600	55,806
Total Submitted	73,917	1,935	39,901	142,892	16,935	157,256	986,99	22,639	56,540	124,531	57,072	55,915	816,519
Percentage Unpriced/ Unpaid	13.40%	17.60%	4.00%	1.90%	9.00%	7.10%	13.40%	10.90%	5.20%	1.90%	7.30%	13.60%	%08.9